# JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE

Bill Number:	Title:	Agency:
2318 HB	Advancing Criminal	055 – Administrative Office
	Investigatory Practices	of the Courts (AOC)

## Part I: Estimates

□ No Fiscal Impact

#### **Estimated Cash Receipts to:**

	FY 2020	FY 2021	2019-21	2021-23	2023-25
Total:					

#### Estimated Expenditures from:

STATE	FY 2020	FY 2021	2019-21	2021-23	2023-25
FTE – Staff Years					
Account					
General Fund – State (001-1)					
State Subtotal					
COUNTY					
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal					
CITY					
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local – Cities					
Cities Subtotal					
Local Subtotal					
Total Estimated					
Expenditures:					

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

⊠ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form parts I-V

□ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

□ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date:
Agency Preparation: Sam Knutson	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 1/13/2020
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date:
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

## Part II: Narrative Explanation

This bill would modify procedures for collecting DNA samples from persons who are not immediately taken into custody.

## Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

Section 7(5)(d) – Would provide that if an offender is not immediately taken into custody of the Department of Corrections (DOC), Department of Children, Youth, and Families facility, or a county or city jail facility a court must order the offender to provide a DNA sample to a law enforcement officer in the presence of the court.

## **II.B - Cash Receipt Impact**

None.

## **II.C – Expenditures**

Indeterminate, but expected to be significant.

The AOC assumes that courts would be required to contract with local law enforcement or with a private entity to provide DNA sampling processes in the presence of the court. It is not known how many courts would contract with law enforcement or a private entity to provide these services.

Likewise, in order to ensure chain of custody for DNA samples / evidence, it is assumed that additional bailiffs would be required. It is not known how many courts would need additional bailiffs to provide these services.